

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/609,349	06/30/2003	Howard Keith Crosby	Crosby-1	8203	
31331	7590 10/12/2004		EXAMINER		
RODNEY A. CORL 2338 CATAWBA RD.			UPTON, CHRISTOPHER		
	E, VA 24175	2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1724		
	•		DATE MAILED: 10/12/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					()/				
ĵ		Apı	plication No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summer:			/609,349	CROSBY, HOWARD	KEITH /				
	Office Action Summary	Exa	aminer	Art Unit					
····	7/ 11/1/ 1/0 0 1/7 1//		istopher Upton	1724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appears	on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence addre	? SS				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comme period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). unication.) days, a reply within tutory period will appl will, by statute, cause	In no event, however, may a the statutory minimum of th ly and will expire SIX (6) MO the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this comn BBANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.				
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on							
2a)□		b) This action	on is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the ap	plication.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3 and 5-7</u> is/are rejected.									
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2 and 4</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or elec	tion requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 June 2003</u>	is/are: a)□ a	ccepted or b) 🛭 obje	ected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including			· ·	• •				
11)[_]	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examin	er. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-	152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	or foreian priori	itv under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)					
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	0 1	,	0 · · · (=) (=) (·).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have	e been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority of	locuments have	e been received in A	Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of			received in this National Sta	ige				
* 0	application from the Internation								
" S	ee the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the	e certified copies not	received.					
Attachment	• •								
1) 🔀 Notice 2) 🗌 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948)	4) LInterview : Paper Not	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Inforn	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P No(s)/Mail Date	TO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application (PTO-15	2)				
. 4001			o/ L Other:	 ·					

Art Unit: 1724

1. Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

"Can be" is vague and indefinite. A structural basis for the folding function, or proper means-plus-function language should be used.

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martin.

Martin discloses an integral plastic gutter (see column 4, lines 32-33) having a drip edge with a lip (42) extending under the roof and a leaf screen (26), with a hinge (24) for allowing the gutter to be essentially flattened, as claimed.

4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Olson.

Application/Control Number: 10/609,349

Art Unit: 1724

*j*3'

Olson discloses an integral gutter having a drip edge with a lip extending under the roof and a leaf screen, as claimed.

5. Claims 3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Olson.

Claim 3 differs from Olson in recitation of the system being made of plastic. It is submitted that Olson discloses that metal or other suitable materials (column 5, lines 26-32) may be used. Therefore, it is submitted that the use of plastic, a known gutter material, would have been obvious for one skilled ion the art.

Claim 6 differs from Olson in recitation that the system can be folded essentially flat. It is submitted that metal is bendable, and therefore may obviously be folded.

6. Claims 2 and 4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The recitation of an integral gutter having a drip edge with a lip extending under the roof along an upper interior edge, a leaf screen along the upper exterior edge, and a **Art Unit: 1724**

soffit vent along the lower interior edge. Olson discloses a soffit vent, but the soffit vent of Olson is removable.

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Other references of interest include / Faulkner, Morrissey, McLean, Wennerstrom, Spradlin and Davis.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Upton whose telephone number is 571-272-1169. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00, off every other Monday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Duane Smith can be reached on 571-272-1166. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Christopher Upton Primary Examiner